Time: _2

LAW OFFICES RICHARD P. BRICKEN, P.A.

Richard P. Bricken, Esquire 317 West Patrick Street Frederick, Maryland 21701 Phone:301-694-5850 Fax: 301-694-5852 brickeniaw@aoi.com

AUG 0 5 2008

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT.

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM

DATE:	8/5/08
TO:	Public Service Commission of South Carolina
FAX NO.:	803-896-5246
FROM:	Richard Bricken, Esquire
RE:	Mark Ridley Complaint
TOTAL PAGES:	(Including cover) HARD COPY TO FOLLOW: UYES / X-NO
COMMENTS	
Please	See allastel foro
PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFF	(ČE, IF TRANSMITTAL IS NOT COMPLETED PROPERLY.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be legally privileged and which is intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) named above: If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this faceimile, or the taking of any action in rellance on the contents of this telecopled information, may be strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the entire facsimile to us at the above address via U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

: PĽĘĄ

LAW OFFICES RICHARD P. BRICKEN, P.A.

317 WEST PATRICK STREET FREDERICK, MARYLAND 21701 301-694-5850

August 5, 2008

RECEIVED

AUG 0 5 2008

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT.

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Chief Clerk Charlie Terreni P.O. Box Drawer 11649 Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Clerk:

Please join Richard P. Bricken and Karen C. Bricken as parties to Docket #2006-327WS so that we may submit testimony supporting our claim which was previously submitted on March 28, 2007.

Specifically, we reside at 85 Ridge Lake Drive, Manning, South Carolina in the Wyboo Plantation. Sometime in the year 2007 our septic alert alarm sounded and we called Mark Ridley to service that location.

Mr. Ridley explained that we must install a manhole cover to allow future access to the septic tank subsequent to its service.

Initially, he claimed that it would cost one price and then after it was installed he added approximately \$250.00 dollars.

We found out later that we did not need to install the manhole cover and that, in fact, one had been installed on a neighbor's property without charge prior to our situation.

Whereas we made a timely complaint, this complaint has not been serviced properly to allow a resolution.

It would be greatly appreciated if you instruct us how we can provide testimony to support our claim to have our funds returned to us.

If we could arrange a telephonic communication it would be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Richard P. Bricken, Esquire

/slb